Udiddit, a social news aggregator

## Introduction

Udiddit, a social news aggregation, web content rating, and discussion website, is currently using a risky and unreliable Postgres database schema to store the forum posts, discussions, and votes made by their users about different topics.

The schema allows posts to be created by registered users on certain topics, and can include a URL or a text content. It also allows registered users to cast an upvote (like) or downvote (dislike) for any forum post that has been created. In addition to this, the schema also allows registered users to add comments on posts.

Here is the DDL used to create the schema:

|  |
| --- |
| **CREATE TABLE bad\_posts (**  **id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,**  **topic VARCHAR(50),**  **username VARCHAR(50),**  **title VARCHAR(150),**  **url VARCHAR(4000) DEFAULT NULL,**  **text\_content TEXT DEFAULT NULL,**  **upvotes TEXT,**  **downvotes TEXT**  **);**  **CREATE TABLE bad\_comments (**  **id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,**  **username VARCHAR(50),**  **post\_id BIGINT,**  **text\_content TEXT**  **);** |

## Part I: Investigate the existing schema

As a first step, investigate this schema and some of the sample data in the project’s SQL workspace. Then, in your own words, outline three (3) specific things that could be improved about this schema. Don’t hesitate to outline more if you want to stand out!

|  |
| --- |
| --After doing some exploration on the two tables, bad\_posts is a table that lists out every user that upvotes and downvotes and stores multiple values in the same cell/row. Each vote should be an individual observation/row/count.  --a post, all in the same row, instead of keeping a running count of each upvote and downvote. This doesn't follow forms/standards of normalization.  --The post\_id data type for the bad\_comments table doesn't need to be a bigint but rather an integer that references an id column in another table for posts.  --Users, topics, posts, votes, and comments should be given their own tables. Each entity should have its own table.  --I don’t think 4,000 characters is necessary for a URL. The character limit could or should be lower.  --The upvote and downvote data types should be a number rather than text. We should be keeping count of how many votes are tallied and the value of those votes.  --The ids to uniquely identify comments and posts should be primary key serial rather than simple integers like foreign keys that reference another table. |

## Part II: Create the DDL for your new schema

Having done this initial investigation and assessment, your next goal is to dive deep into the heart of the problem and create a new schema for Udiddit. Your new schema should at least reflect fixes to the shortcomings you pointed to in the previous exercise. To help you create the new schema, a few guidelines are provided to you:

1. Guideline #1: here is a list of features and specifications that Udiddit needs in order to support its website and administrative interface:
   1. Allow new users to register:
      1. Each username has to be unique
      2. Usernames can be composed of at most 25 characters
      3. Usernames can’t be empty
      4. We won’t worry about user passwords for this project
   2. Allow registered users to create new topics:
      1. Topic names have to be unique.
      2. The topic’s name is at most 30 characters
      3. The topic’s name can’t be empty
      4. Topics can have an optional description of at most 500 characters.
   3. Allow registered users to create new posts on existing topics:
      1. Posts have a required title of at most 100 characters
      2. The title of a post can’t be empty.
      3. Posts should contain either a URL or a text content, **but not both**.
      4. If a topic gets deleted, all the posts associated with it should be automatically deleted too.
      5. If the user who created the post gets deleted, then the post will remain, but it will become dissociated from that user.
   4. Allow registered users to comment on existing posts:
      1. A comment’s text content can’t be empty.
      2. Contrary to the current linear comments, the new structure should allow comment threads at arbitrary levels.
      3. If a post gets deleted, all comments associated with it should be automatically deleted too.
      4. If the user who created the comment gets deleted, then the comment will remain, but it will become dissociated from that user.
      5. If a comment gets deleted, then all its descendants in the thread structure should be automatically deleted too.
   5. Make sure that a given user can only vote once on a given post:
      1. Hint: you can store the (up/down) value of the vote as the values 1 and -1 respectively.
      2. If the user who cast a vote gets deleted, then all their votes will remain, but will become dissociated from the user.
      3. If a post gets deleted, then all the votes for that post should be automatically deleted too.
2. Guideline #2: here is a list of queries that Udiddit needs in order to support its website and administrative interface. Note that you don’t need to produce the DQL for those queries: they are only provided to guide the design of your new database schema.
   1. List all users who haven’t logged in in the last year.
   2. List all users who haven’t created any post.
   3. Find a user by their username.
   4. List all topics that don’t have any posts.
   5. Find a topic by its name.
   6. List the latest 20 posts for a given topic.
   7. List the latest 20 posts made by a given user.
   8. Find all posts that link to a specific URL, for moderation purposes.
   9. List all the top-level comments (those that don’t have a parent comment) for a given post.
   10. List all the direct children of a parent comment.
   11. List the latest 20 comments made by a given user.
   12. Compute the score of a post, defined as the difference between the number of upvotes and the number of downvotes
3. Guideline #3: you’ll need to use normalization, various constraints, as well as indexes in your new database schema. You should use named constraints and indexes to make your schema cleaner.
4. Guideline #4: your new database schema will be composed of five (5) tables that should have an auto-incrementing id as their primary key.

Once you’ve taken the time to think about your new schema, write the DDL for it in the space provided here:

|  |
| --- |
| CREATE TABLE "users" (  "id" SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,  "username" VARCHAR(25) UNIQUE NOT NULL,  CONSTRAINT "user\_not\_empty" CHECK (LENGTH(TRIM("username")) > 0),  "last\_login" TIMESTAMP );  CREATE TABLE "topics" (  "id" SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,  "topic\_name" VARCHAR(30) UNIQUE NOT NULL,  CONSTRAINT "topic\_not\_empty" CHECK (LENGTH(TRIM("topic\_name")) > 0),  "topic\_description" VARCHAR(500),  "topic\_timestamp" TIMESTAMP,  "user\_id" INTEGER REFERENCES "users" ON DELETE SET NULL );  CREATE TABLE "posts" (  "id" SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,  "post\_title" VARCHAR(100) NOT NULL  CHECK (LENGTH(TRIM(post\_title)) > 0),  "text\_content" TEXT,  "url" VARCHAR,  "post\_timestamp" TIMESTAMP,  "topic\_id" INTEGER NOT NULL REFERENCES "topics" ON DELETE CASCADE,  "user\_id" INTEGER REFERENCES "users" ON DELETE SET NULL,  CONSTRAINT "url\_or\_text\_in\_post"  CHECK (("url" IS NULL AND "text\_content" IS NOT NULL)  OR ("url" IS NOT NULL AND "text\_content" IS NULL)) );  CREATE TABLE "comments" (  "id" SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,  "text\_content" TEXT NOT NULL,  "comment\_timestamp" TIMESTAMP,  "parent\_id" INTEGER NOT NULL REFERENCES "comments" ON DELETE CASCADE,  "topic\_id" INTEGER NOT NULL REFERENCES "topics" ON DELETE CASCADE,  "post\_id" INTEGER NOT NULL REFERENCES "posts" ON DELETE CASCADE,  "user\_id" INTEGER REFERENCES "users" ON DELETE SET NULL );  CREATE TABLE "post\_votes" (  "id" SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,  "user\_id" INTEGER REFERENCES "users" ON DELETE SET NULL,  "post\_id" INTEGER NOT NULL REFERENCES "posts" ON DELETE CASCADE,  "topic\_id" INTEGER NOT NULL REFERENCES "topics" ON DELETE CASCADE,  "vote" SMALLINT CONSTRAINT "binary\_vote" CHECK (vote = 1 OR vote = -1),  CONSTRAINT "unique\_user\_vote" UNIQUE ("user\_id", "post\_id") );  CREATE INDEX "quick\_find\_posts" ON "posts" ("text\_content"); CREATE INDEX "quick\_find\_urls" ON "posts" ("url"); CREATE INDEX "quick\_find\_comments" ON "comments" ("text\_content"); CREATE INDEX "quick\_find\_parent\_comment" ON "comments" ("parent\_id"); CREATE INDEX "quick\_find\_vote\_post" ON "post\_vote" ("post\_id"); |

## Part III: Migrate the provided data

Now that your new schema is created, it’s time to migrate the data from the provided schema in the project’s SQL Workspace to your own schema. This will allow you to review some DML and DQL concepts, as you’ll be using INSERT...SELECT queries to do so. Here are a few guidelines to help you in this process:

1. Topic descriptions can all be empty
2. Since the bad\_comments table doesn’t have the threading feature, you can migrate all comments as top-level comments, i.e. without a parent
3. You can use the Postgres string function **regexp\_split\_to\_table** to unwind the comma-separated votes values into separate rows
4. Don’t forget that some users only vote or comment, and haven’t created any posts. You’ll have to create those users too.
5. The order of your migrations matter! For example, since posts depend on users and topics, you’ll have to migrate the latter first.
6. Tip: You can start by running only SELECTs to fine-tune your queries, and use a LIMIT to avoid large data sets. Once you know you have the correct query, you can then run your full INSERT...SELECT query.
7. **NOTE**: The data in your SQL Workspace contains thousands of posts and comments. The DML queries may take at least 10-15 seconds to run.

Write the DML to migrate the current data in bad\_posts and bad\_comments to your new database schema:

|  |
| --- |
| INSERT INTO "users" ("username")  SELECT DISTINCT username  FROM bad\_posts  UNION  SELECT DISTINCT username  FROM bad\_comments  UNION  SELECT regexp\_split\_to\_table(upvotes,',') as user\_upvote  FROM bad\_posts  UNION  SELECT regexp\_split\_to\_table(downvotes,',') as user\_downvote  FROM bad\_posts;   INSERT INTO "topics" ("topic\_name")  SELECT DISTINCT topic  FROM bad\_posts;   INSERT INTO "posts" ("post\_title", "text\_content", "url", "topic\_id", "user\_id")  SELECT LEFT(bp.title, 100), bp.text\_content, bp.url, t.id, u.id  FROM bad\_posts bp  JOIN topics t  ON t.topic\_name = bp.topic  JOIN users u  ON u.username = bp.username;    INSERT INTO "comments" ("id", "text\_content", "post\_id", "user\_id") SELECT bc.id, bc.text\_content, p.id p\_id, u.id u\_id FROM bad\_comments bc JOIN posts p  ON p.id = bc.id JOIN users u  ON u.username = bc.username;   INSERT INTO "post\_votes" ("post\_id", "user\_id", "vote") SELECT  b.id bp\_id,  u.id u\_id,  1 AS vote FROM  (SELECT id, regexp\_split\_to\_table(upvotes,',') as user\_upvote  FROM bad\_posts) b JOIN users u  ON u.username = b.user\_upvote;   INSERT INTO "post\_votes" ("post\_id", "user\_id", "vote") SELECT  b.id bp\_id,  u.id u\_id,  -1 AS vote FROM  (SELECT id, regexp\_split\_to\_table(downvotes,',') AS user\_downvote  FROM bad\_posts) b JOIN users u  ON u.username = b.user\_downvote; |